## 5.3.2 Data Driven Changes: Self-Study and OEAE Reports, Unit Changes and Programs Changes

## Section A

## Data Driven Program Changes - Self-Study and OEAE Reports

DATA SOURCE	DOMAIN	FINDINGS	ACTIONS
Clinical Practice	1) InTASC	1) for 2013 to 2016 data, in the learning	Program Directors, professors
Evaluation Instrument	Learner	differences standard, only Biology met or	candidates and cooperating
CAEP	And learning	exceed the passing criteria for two years; in the	teachers meetings to analyze
Self-Study Report		learning environment Standard only Biology and	data in the formative practicum
2017, Standard 1		History Programs met or exceed on average the	evaluation process
Evidence		passing criteria for one year	
	2) Content		
	Knowledge	2) History did not meet on average the passing	
	-	criteria for the three years – other programs did	
		not meet the application of content standard	
	3) Assessment,		
	planning for	3) Some of the programs did not meet the	
	instructional strategies	passing criteria for one on more years	
	4) Professional		
	responsibility and	4) Some of the programs did not meet the	
	leadership and	passing criteria for one on more years	
	collaboration standard		
Professional Reflective	1) FAED 4002	1) K-12 Music Program did not meet the 70%	Meetings with the electronic
Seminars (FAED 4001,		pass criteria for two consecutive years	portfolio coordinator and
4002 and 4003)			professors to analyze data and
CAEP	2) FAED 4003	2) Family Ecology Secondary Education did not	make recommendations for
Self-Study Report		meet the 70% pass criteria for one year	improvement candidates
2017, Standard 1			learning process
Evidence			

DATA SOURCE	DOMAIN	FINDINGS	ACTIONS
Evidence 5.4.4 Analysis and Reflection of EPP Programs Document 5.5.3 UPR-RP Analysis of EPP Programs with Benchmarks, faculty allocation and others indicators 5.5.2 UPR System Longitudinal Analysis of Enrollment, Retention and Graduation Rates 5.5.1 EPP Enrollment, Retention and Graduation Rates, Professors allocations and benchmark information by Program	Retention and Graduation Rates	1) Only one program did not comply with institutional retention and graduation rates, the Elementary English Program 2) The Biology, General Science, and Chemistry programs have good retention rates, but that is not the case with Biology =90TR 32TG; General Science=90TR and 27 TG; and Chemistry =90TR and 32 TG.  3) Physical Education Secondary Program presented in august 2014 a proposal to change offerings that was discussed with EPP professors EPP Deanship campus chancellor, and Campus Deanship of Academic Affairs  4) Family Ecology Secondary Program-A curricular revision is proposed by programs of the Ecology School to consolidate all programs under the standards of the American Association of Family and Consumer Sciences (AAFCS)	1) Due to the fact that the majority of these students request admission to the Secondary English Program, and then go on to complete a second major in Elementary English, it is proposed to consolidate both programs Discussions about this proposal are conducted in the Directive Committee and meetings of the area professors.  2) The majority of the students that enroll in these programs are interested in studying medicine and other related professions. They stay at the College of Education until they get the required GPS to transfer to the College of Natural Science.  3) and 4) EPP Directive Committee meetings with Campus Deanship of Academic Affairs to discuss changes and approve proposals to be referred to the Campus Academic Senate and the UPR System Vice-presidency of Academic Affairs.

DATA SOURCE	DOMAIN	FINDINGS	ACTIONS
OEAE Reports 2013-20	017		
OEAE Report 2012-13 / 2013-14	Written communication competence: Across InTASC standards.	Data analysis established the need to improve the writing skills of the candidates. The candidates were in better chance to pass the state licensure test.	Writing Workshops Zones were established for all candidates as a requirement of the pre practicum course.
OEAE Report 2014-2015	Written communication competence: Across InTASC standards.	Difficulties of discrimination between those who approve and do not approve in the rubric of Writing Workshops Zones.	It was done among the professors who offer the Writing Workshops Zones an analysis to adjust the rubric. The rubric was adapted to UPR Online Learning Assessment System (OLAS) programming.
OEAE Report 2014-2015	The 10 competencies of the faculty aligned to InTASC which are measured in the Electronic Portfolio.	Low percentages in the data entry for the competences measured through the electronic portfolio.	Motivate professors to do the data entry. Assign human resources to collaborate in the data entry task.
OEAE Report 2015-2016	Written communication competence: Across InTASC standards.	Low participation of candidates in the Writing Workshops Zones.	The Writing Workshops Zones was integrated into the FAED 4002 course.

Section B

**Continuous Improvement: Data Driven Unit Changes** 

Data Source	Domain	Data Finding and changes made	Date	How data is shared with Faculty, Candidates, and Professional Community
Annual Report System 2017	Institutional Assessment Plan of Student Learning	The Institutional Assessment Plan of Student Learning provides the conceptual framework for the Campus approach to the assessment of student learning. It sets up the campus-level stages and cycles that have been followed and	2011-2017	Faculty Meetings
		<ul> <li>describes.</li> <li>The campus-level plan calls for assessment activities that facilitate comparisons of learning outcome results across academic programs.</li> </ul>		Seminars
	Assessment system that	<ul> <li>Each academic program is responsible for establishing a five-year assessment plan, and an Annual Assessment of Student Learning Plan. These documents serve as guides and resources for designing educational activities and instruments developed by academic programs. They are submitted to the OEAE at the beginning of each academic year.</li> </ul>		Faculty Assessment Retreats
	provides regular and		2012-2017	Faculty Meetings
	comprehensive information on candidate	The University of Puerto Rico's EMH College of Education has developed an     Assessment System to evaluate the performance of candidates based on data		
	proficiencies, unit operations, and program quality	collected and analyzed from multiple sources. The Assessment System is rooted into the College's Conceptual Framework and incorporates national and institutional standards.		Seminars
		The Office of Evaluation is responsible of the assessment system, which includes systematically gathering, summarizing, analyzing, and sharing data with stakeholders to improve programs for candidates and student's learning.		Faculty Assessment Retreats
		<ul> <li>All Programs collaborate with the Office of Evaluation to ensure that their own assessment efforts for SPA accreditation comply with the Faculty requirements as well as their own specific needs.</li> </ul>		

		Data on clinical practicum experiences and candidate performance is used each semester by the director of Clinical Practicum who shares data with all practicum supervisors to identify needed changes and generate actions.		
		During the past seven years, Faculty Assessment Retreats have been carried out		
Annual Report		to share aggregated assessment data among faculty, with representatives from		
System 2017		all key areas, including initial and advanced programs.		
		At the Campus level, UPR-RP has been formally engaged in a systematic process		
		of assessing student learning since the Student Learning Evaluation Plan, was approved by the Academic Senate, in 2006.		
		Assessment is implemented in five-year cycles in which the number of domains		
		and learning objectives assessed periodically increases, in terms of both the		
		learning objectives of our academic programs and the competencies included in		
		the baccalaureate graduate profile.		
		The Office of Student Learning Evaluation (OEAE in Spanish), oversees and		
		coordinates campus initiatives. It provides academic programs with guidance and		
		resources.		
		• The initial annual assessment cycle consists of the following six steps: 1.		
		Selection of the learning outcomes to be assessed 2. Identification of the		
		educational activities to be used 3. Preparation of assessment instruments for	2011-2017	Faculty Meetings
	Clinical Partnership and	data collection 4. Selection of the appropriate points for gathering data 5.		
	Practice	Analysis and interpretation of data 6. Proposal of transforming actions.		
				Seminars
		To improve partnerships for clinical preparation as stated in the new CAEP		
		Standard, the unit at the initial level established an Advisory Committee on		
		Clinical Experiences with the charge of examining and collaborate in assessment,		Faculty Assessment Retreats
		curricular development, and P-12 collaborative projects.		
		Placements, observational instruments, and evaluations, are co-constructed by		
		partners, as stated in the candidate's evaluation instrument, both cooperating		
		teachers and university professors are responsible for the evaluation of		
		candidates' development and performance.		
		• EPP partners include approximately 96 schools and agencies, of which 77% are		

Annual Danast		public, 18% are private, and 5% are laboratory schools. These partners collaborate in the design, delivery, and evaluation of the unit's field and clinical experiences.		
Annual Report System 2017	Unit's assessment rubrics	<ul> <li>Other main partners are private schools, postsecondary institutes, Head Start and Early Head Start centers, as well as the University of Puerto Rico preschool, elementary, and secondary laboratory schools</li> </ul>	2011-2017	Faculty Meetings  Faculty Assessment Retreats
	to monitor candidate performance	<ul> <li>All SPA programs revised program assessment rubrics to ensure interrater reliability and had better describe differences between levels of performance.</li> <li>All SPA Programs submitted in 2014 are recognized without conditions.</li> <li>School and university based clinical faculty jointly assess the candidates' achievement of ten competencies during the clinical experience using the Clinical Practicum Evaluation Instrument and other assessment processes which were developed and revised through collaboration between the unit and its partners.</li> <li>The data is summarized, analyzed, and discussed in clinical faculty meetings, and clinical faculty, in turn, discuss the information with the school based personnel.</li> <li>This information is gathered by academic programs and is compared to previous semesters to monitor and establish trends related to the preparation of teacher candidates.</li> <li>The assessment of the candidate proficiencies during the clinical practicum is a formative and summative process based on the use of the unit-wide evaluation instrument, which includes a rubric to assess performance levels of each of the ten competencies.</li> <li>The development of a systematic formative and summative evaluation process to assess the development of all candidates' knowledge, skills, and dispositions has been a key feature of the clinical practicum.</li> <li>The Clinical Practicum Evaluation Instrument has been revised through several iterations with the participation of university clinical supervisors, school based cooperating teachers, and candidates. Results of the summative evaluation have been tracked during the past three years to identify trends in the development of</li> </ul>		Faculty Assessment Retreats  Faculty Meetings

Annual Report		the unit candidates.	2011-2017	
System 2017	Participation of the			Seminars
	Professional Community			
	in the development and evaluation of its	<ul> <li>Since the previous visit, the unit has made considerable continuous improvement in working with P-12 faculty on curricular issues.</li> </ul>		Faculty Assessment Retreats
	assessment system	iii working with F-12 faculty on curricular issues.		
		The cycle of colloquia with clinical practices school faculty has been consistently		
		<b>implemented</b> , and the collaborative efforts between university and school faculty		
		<ul> <li>have thus been strengthened.</li> <li>One employer survey has been administered for the 2012-2013 academic year. A</li> </ul>		
		total of 16 responses have been received. Due to the difficulties that faced		
		contacting alumni and employers, the DGS has been working on establishing a		
		database for the last three academic year. An employer focus group protocol		
		has been designed and it will be administered during this academic year.		
	Systematic collect and	Professional Community Advisory Committee established has continued its		Faculty Meetings
	analyze data on student	work. The Committee includes among its constituents: school teachers, counselors, and administrators; DGS alumni and candidates; DGS faculty; and		
	learning generated	other pertinent members of the professional community. Recommendations from	2011-2017	Committee Meetings
	during clinical experience.	the last Colloquia have been shared with each DGS program involved.		Faculty Assessment Retreats
		The cycle of colloquia with clinical practices school faculty has been consistently		
		implemented. The last colloquia, December 10, 2015, included students'		
		participation and field experiences besides clinical practices.		
		Students were invited to share their experiences regarding their clinical practice		
		or the field experiences. After student's presentations faculty from each specialty		
	SPA evidence to inform	of the advanced programs commented on students' experiences and discussions were generated.		Faculty Meetings
SPA Data Driven	decision making and improve instruction and		2011-2017	Committee Meetings
Changes	candidate learning	Since the P-12 Professional Community Advisory Committee was established, it		
		has provided continuous input on the programs and their assessment system.		Faculty Assessment Retreats

	outcomes			
		Based upon the periodical recollection, interpretation, analysis, and reflections of the data obtained from the SPA's assessments, faculty dialogues and recommendations from meetings and workshops, as well as the curricular revision implantation, has led to the followings major changes:  • The courses Evaluation of Learning, Educational Technology, and Principles of Educational Research, had been established as a requirement for all candidates.		
		<ul> <li>Creation of the Writing Zone Workshops, first as an optional activity, and now incorporated as a requirement of the Methods Course to strengthen candidates' writing skills.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Creation of a cycle of three Reflexive Professional Seminars as a requirement of all candidates in which they develop the electronic portfolio, and present reflections on their professional development and practice to evidence meeting all Principles established in the EMH College of Education Conceptual Framework.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>The TESS Program made changes at the curriculum revision of the Bachelors of Arts Degree of the EMH College of Education based on SPA evidence. The Program realized from the findings that all candidates needed further development in composition writing, but candidates were at different levels of development in their writing abilities.</li> </ul>		
SPA Data Driven	SPA evidence to inform decision making and improve instruction and candidate learning	<ul> <li>To cater to the variations of development in oral and written communication, the program designed the new B.A. to be flexible in the requirement of content courses in English. Instead of requiring a specific course, the new curriculum requires three credits of oral communication and six credits of written communication. In this way, candidates who still need to refine their</li> </ul>	2011-2017	Faculty Meetings  Committee Meetings
Changes	outcomes	pronunciation, for example, may take courses that will help them, whereas speakers with native pronunciation may take Public Speaking or other courses in oral communication.		Open House Activities for High School Students from public and private schools

<ul> <li>A more aggressive recruitment campaign with multimedia resources and in coordination with the media press, the Department of Education, Public and Private Institutions and other university facilities. These actions are being implemented to increase recruitment, enrollment, and maintenance of students.</li> </ul>	

## Section C Continuous Improvement: Data Driven Programs Changes

Data Source	Domain	Data finding and changes made	Date	How data is shared with Faculty, Candidates, and Professional Community
SPA Data	SPA evidence to inform	Preschool Education:	2011-2017	Faculty Meetings
<b>Driven Changes</b>	decision making and	During the past four years, we have revised the competencies to be met by future		
	improve instruction and	teachers during their early field experiences, pre-practicums, and student		Committee Meetings
	candidate learning	teaching practicum.		
	outcomes	Have also been revised requirements of the three Professional Development		Faculty Assessment Retreats

		<ul> <li>Seminars to build an Electronic Portfolio with convincing evidence that support the deep reflective actions of educators during their professional training and development.</li> <li>The changes made to the curriculum, such as, completing the curricular sequence with the revised concentration courses and the progressive field experiences required, promotes in our candidates a highly content knowledge and professional dispositions and skills, and the effects on young children learning.</li> <li>A new concentration course entitled: Socio-emotional development of the infant, toddler, and preschool, have being very helpful for future teachers to know how to deal with each child guidance and emotional development and the effects on student learning.</li> <li>To attend the candidates writing skills, they have taken a Writing Workshop as the same time they are enrolled in the first pre-practicum with infants and toddlers.</li> <li>A change in the research community project to promote a research aimed at candidates advocate for the needs of children and families within the community. This new research project known as - The action of advocacy to raise the voices of the children in conjunction with the families and the community - give the student the opportunity to carry out an action to advocate with knowledge to contribute to the well-being of children and of the profession of early childhood education.</li> </ul>		
SPA Data Driven Changes	SPA evidence to inform decision making and improve instruction and candidate learning outcomes	<ul> <li>Kindergarten to Third Grade:</li> <li>We plan to meet our students with the academic advisor to explore any difficulties with the workshop and possible ways to support them in its implementation.</li> <li>In both assessments 6 and 7 we found that a few candidates must improve the writing and reflection skills in educational practices and effective approaches in k-3 scenarios.</li> <li>We have asked the professors of the area for more rigorousness when they grade</li> </ul>	2011-2017	Faculty Meetings  Committee Meetings  Faculty Assessment Retreats

	<ul> <li>student's papers in these aspects and be more specific in the feedback they give to students.</li> <li>We also recommended the K-3 candidates to assist to the writing and thinking skills workshop offered in the General Studies Faculty for all the students of the Campus.</li> <li>Our k-3 program is trying to enhance research skills in our candidates including this skills in two courses (EDPE 3013 - Teaching language arts in the elementary school and EDPE 3034 - Assessment and diagnosis of the reading and writing process).</li> <li>Elementary Education-Special Education</li> <li>Curricular plans sequences for each program revisions. This action is taking place right now with the purpose of reducing the number of credit hours, update contents and requirements of the programs, and integrated 2 or more areas of sub specialization to improve programs offerings.</li> <li>Major curricular revisions. This action was implemented a year ago with the purpose of integrate the bachelor's and master degree programs into one combined and extended program. These programs will be calling BIM-Bachelor Integrated to Master degree.</li> </ul>		
SPA Data Driven Changes  SPA evidence to info decision making and improve instruction candidate learning outcomes	<ul> <li>right now with the purpose of reducing the number of credit hours, update contents and requirements of the programs, and integrated 2 or more areas of sub specialization to improve programs offerings.</li> <li>Major curricular revisions. This action was implemented a year ago with the purpose of integrate the bachelor's and master degree programs into one combined and extended program. These programs will be calling BIM-Bachelor Integrated to Master degree.</li> <li>Increase technology use and empowerment. This action was implemented a year ago with the purpose of integrate the emergent technologies into the virtual classroom and empower students.</li> </ul>	2011-2017	Faculty Meetings  Committee Meetings  Faculty Assessment Retreats

SPA Data Driven Changes	SPA evidence to inform decision making and improve instruction and candidate learning outcomes	<ul> <li>dispositions, and, more inquiry based learning and hands on/minds on activities.</li> <li>The safety and ethical content is also developed and measured through a project on Safety, Ethical laws, and Human Treatment. The development of this assessment, which includes a Safety Test for candidates, told us that our students need to improve in safety and ethical concepts.</li> <li>Measures in were taken Science Teaching Methods Course in which students develop the project to make them more aware of what they need to learn.</li> <li>Mathematics:</li> <li>To strengthen the content, the mathematics program at the secondary level, reviewed the required courses in mathematics and determined to include MATH 4120: History of Mathematics, as requirements for all candidates of the secondary mathematics program.</li> <li>The academic advisory component was being reinforced in terms that faculty members of the math program are conducting collective and individual meetings to orient candidates on their study program.</li> <li>Because of the assessment process, the College of Education incorporated in all their secondary level programs, a methodology course of four credits (EDPT 4128). This change was made to reinforce the area of pedagogical knowledge.</li> <li>The methodology course was strengthened by having more time in the following areas: educational research, the use of technology in learning mathematics and the hours of field experience increased.</li> <li>The College of Education in the review of their preparation programs, added also a pedagogical course to reinforce the knowledge of the candidates: EDPT 4030-Manipulatives and Technology in Mathematics Secondary.</li> <li>Physical Education:         <ul> <li>Analysis of the results in Assessment 1-6 found four areas of weaknesses in our candidates: content knowledge, planning, assessment, and use of technology. As a result, it prompted the program to make some changes during 2013-14 and on, by focusing on da</li></ul></li></ul>	2011-2017	Faculty Meetings Committee Meetings Faculty Assessment Retreats
----------------------------	--	--	-----------	---

0	Analysis and redesign physical education courses to reflect a sequence	
	developmental perspective and professional and pedagogical standards.	
0	Trained current professors in pedagogical content knowledge: Develop	
	candidate's capacity to transform content knowledge into forms that are	
	pedagogically powerful and adaptive to the learning styles, abilities, and	
	cultural backgrounds.	
0	Implemented a common assessment lesson and unit plan for individual/	
	group skills courses methods courses (EDFI 4108 and EDPE 4215), and	
	student teaching.	
0	Trained professors in the use of assessment rubrics.	
0	Request to hire curriculum experts to teach the individual/ group skills	
	courses and methods courses. Currently there is only one professor with	
	an expertise in curriculum and instruction.	
	,	